PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

Y	REC'D	23	FEB	2005
L	WIPO	-		PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

AP945PCT		OR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416		
International application N PCT/CA2004/000396	. """	ernational filing date (day/month/year) 0.03.2004	Priority date (day/month/year) 21.03.2003		
International Patent Class H04M7/00, H04Q3/66	fication (IPC) or nationa	al classification and IPC			
Applicant EASYLINK NETWOF	RKS INC. et al				
This report is the i Authority under Ar This DEPORT	nternational prelimina ticle 35 and transmit	ary examination report, established ted to the applicant according to A	d by this International Preliminary Examining		
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 8 sheets, including this cover sheet					
3. I his report is also	accompanied by ANI	NEXES, comprising:			
a. ⊔ sent to the	applicant and to the	International Bureau) a total of st	neets, as follows:		
and/or : Admini	of the description, classified should be description.	aims and/or drawings which have le etifications authorized by this Auth	been amended and are the basis of this repo ority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the		
☐ sheets	Which supercode oor	diamata ata tanan ara	ty considers contain an amendment that goe as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the		
box Relating	g to Sequence Listing	g (see Section 802 of the Administ	number of electronic carrier(s)) , containing e form only, as indicated in the Supplementa trative Instructions).		
. This report contains	indications relating	to the following items:			
☐ Box No. I B	asis of the opinion				
→ B0X 140. II F	riority				
	•	opinion with regard to novelby law	Ontivo oton and but the		
☐ Box No. III N☐ Box No. IV La	•	opinion with regard to novelty, inve	entive step and industrial applicability		
☐ Box No. III N☐ Box No. IV La ☑ Box No. V Ra	on-establishment of cack of unity of inventi easoned statement u oplicability; citations a	on Inder Article 35(2) with regard to n and explanations supporting such			
☐ Box No. III N ☐ Box No. IV La ☐ Box No. V R ap ☐ Box No. VI Ca	on-establishment of one of the control of the contr	under Article 35(2) with regard to n and explanations supporting such			
☐ Box No. III N ☐ Box No. IV La ☐ Box No. V R ap ☐ Box No. VI Ca ☐ Box No. VII Ca	on-establishment of cack of unity of inventing assoned statement upplicability; citations a ertain documents cite ertain defects in the in	on Inder Article 35(2) with regard to n and explanations supporting such ed nternational application			
☐ Box No. III N ☐ Box No. IV La ☐ Box No. V R ap ☐ Box No. VI Ca ☐ Box No. VII Ca	on-establishment of cack of unity of inventing assoned statement upplicability; citations a ertain documents cite ertain defects in the in	on Inder Article 35(2) with regard to n and explanations supporting such			
☐ Box No. III N ☐ Box No. IV La ☐ Box No. V R ☐ Box No. VI Ca ☐ Box No. VII Ca ☐ Box No. VIII Ca	on-establishment of cack of unity of inventi- easoned statement upplicability; citations a ertain documents cite ertain defects in the in- ertain observations of	ander Article 35(2) with regard to n and explanations supporting such a ed nternational application n the international application	ovelty, inventive step or industrial statement		
Box No. III N Box No. IV La Box No. V R ap Box No. VI Ca Box No. VII Ca Box No. VIII Ca Box No. VIII Ca ate of submission of the der	on-establishment of cack of unity of inventi- easoned statement upplicability; citations a ertain documents cite ertain defects in the in- ertain observations of	on Inder Article 35(2) with regard to n and explanations supporting such ed nternational application	ovelty, inventive step or industrial statement		
Box No. III N Box No. IV La Box No. V R ap Box No. VI Ca Box No. VII Ca Box No. VIII Ca Box No. VIII Ca ate of submission of the dei	on-establishment of cack of unity of inventi- easoned statement upplicability; citations a ertain documents cita- ertain defects in the in- ertain observations of	ander Article 35(2) with regard to n and explanations supporting such a ed nternational application n the international application	ovelty, inventive step or industrial statement		
Box No. III N Box No. IV La Box No. V R Ap Box No. VI Ca Box No. VII Ca Box No. VIII Ca Box No. VIII Ca ate of submission of the dei 1.01.2005	on-establishment of cack of unity of inventi- easoned statement upplicability; citations a ertain documents cita- ertain defects in the in- ertain observations of mand the international ty:	inder Article 35(2) with regard to n and explanations supporting such ed international application in the international application	ovelty, inventive step or industrial statement		
Box No. III N Box No. IV La Box No. V R ap Box No. VI Ca Box No. VII Ca Box No. VIII Ca Box No. VIII Ca ate of submission of the dei 1.01.2005 Box and mailing address of eliminary examining authorical p-80298 Municipal	on-establishment of cack of unity of invention easoned statement upplicability; citations a certain documents cite ertain defects in the intertain observations of mand the international ty: ont Office the open of the cartesian observational ty: ont Office the open of the cartesian observational ty: ont Office the open of the cartesian observational ty: ont Office the open of the cartesian observational ty: ont Office the open of the cartesian observational ty: onto Office the cartesian observation of the cartesian observational ty: onto Office the cartesian observation of the cartesian observation observation of the cartesian observation observat	inder Article 35(2) with regard to n and explanations supporting such sed international application in the international application Date of completion 22.02.2005 Authorized Officer	ovelty, inventive step or industrial statement		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/CA2004/000396

_	Box No. I Basis of	the report					
1	With regard to the lar filed, unless otherwise	With regard to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
	☐ international s ☐ publication of	eed on translations from the original language into the following language, uage of a translation furnished for the purposes of: earch (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) the international application (under Rule 12.4) reliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)					
2	With regard to the ele have been furnished t	With regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on <i>(replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):</i>					
	Description, Pages						
	1-23	as originally filed					
	Claims, Numbers						
	1-22	as originally filed					
	Drawings, Sheets						
	1/13-13/13	as originally filed					
	☐ a sequence listing	and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing					
3.	☐ The amendments	- "The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:					
	☐ the description,☐ the claims, Nos	☐ the description, pages ☐ the claims Nos					
	☐ the drawings, s	heets/figs					
	☐ the sequence li☐ any table(s) rela	sting <i>(specify)</i> : ated to sequence listing <i>(specify)</i> :					
4.	Supplemental Box (Rul						
	☐ the description,☐ the claims, Nos	pages					
	☐ the drawings, sl	neets/fias					
	☐ the sequence lis☐ any table(s) rela	sting <i>(specify)</i> : ted to sequence listing <i>(specify)</i> :					
	* If item 4 appl	ies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded "					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/CA2004/000396

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-19,21,22

No: Claims

20

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-19

No: Claims

20-22

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-22

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US-B1-6 205 135 (CHINNI VENKATA RAMANA ET AL) 20 March 2001 (2001-03-20)

D2: EP-A-1 061 728 (TELEDIS TELECOM DIENSTLEISTUNG) 20 December 2000 (2000-12-20)

- The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of independent apparatus claim 20 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
- 2.1 Document D2 discloses, according to the features of independent apparatus claim 20, a subscriber interface unit (column 4, lines 33f. and 38 to 42) having a first port for connection to a subscriber telephone set (column 4, line 42f.), a second port for connection to a subscriber line (column 4, line 44 to 46), means for detecting dialled digits (column 3, lines 6 to 8) received via the first port and using at least some of the dialled digits to access stored data (column 5, lines 20 to 28) including ranges of destination numbers, and routing rules for different kinds of calls to determine whether the call should be routed via the PSTN or via a data network (paragraph [0012]) and, in the former case, connecting the first port directly to the second port (column 3, lines 11 to 14) and, in the latter case, converting (column 6, lines 5 to 9) subscriber signals to data signals having a format suitable for routing through the data network and for converting data signals received from the data network into signals having a format compatible with the subscriber telephone set, the data signals being routed to and from the second port via modem means (paragraph [0027]).

As a consequence, the subject-matter of claim 20 is not new and does not meet the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT.

The fact that, in contrast to the present application, destination Internet addresses have to be manually entered in the device disclosed in D2 is disregarded as this feature is not part of the definition of claim 20.

- 2.2 The subject-matter of claim 21 is considered to define minor implementational details not providing anything of inventive significance (Article 33(3) PCT).
- 3. Furthermore, the present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of independent method claim 22 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

D1 discloses, according to the essential features of independent method claim 22, a process for providing dial tone (implicit; column 4, line 52) to a standard telephony device (151-N), collecting therefrom dialled digits (column 5, line 14f.) representing a telephone number of a called party (251-N) and comparing at least some of the dialled digits with stored data comprising at least one range of destination numbers (figs. 5 and 6), said range comprising at least one destination number, to determine whether or not to route the call over a public data network (column 5, lines 25 to 33); connecting through the PSTN (column 3, lines 8 to 10) to a data network connection point (102-1), converting signals from the standard telephony device from analog to digital (column 6, lines 31 to 35), placing the digital signals into packets acceptable by the data network, routing the call via the data network (fig. 1, "INTERNET") to a data connection exit point (202-1) convenient for a called party standard telephony device (251-N), receiving return digital signals from the called party standard telephony device via the data network, converting the return digital signals to analog and conveying the analog return signals to the subscriber telephony device (151-N).

Although minor implementational differences might exist between the definition of claim 22 and the disclosure of D1, the process of connecting two standard telephone devices via a data network is considered to be fully disclosed in D1.

Therefore, the subject-matter of claim 22 does not involve an inventive activity and does not meet the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT.

4. However, the subject-matter proposed in independent claims 1 and 10 of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons:

4.1 Technical Field

The present application relates to a method (claim 1) and a system (claim 10) of routing telephone calls from a subscriber interface unit via the Public Switched Telephone Network (PSTN) or a public data network (e.g. the Internet).

4.2 Closest Prior Art

Document **D1**, which is considered the closest prior art, discloses an alternate access platform which is connected to a local exchange and both data and telephone networks. Calls are routed depending on a call profile. Document **D2** shows a least-cost-routing method choosing between a standard telephone network and an IP connection using a look-up table.

4.3 Problem

One method for call routing employs re-diallers using a dedicated communication link. This method is only accessible to large companies. Another method is that of using two connected computers which are both equipped with communication hardware. This solution, however, is not useful for the general public due to special skills and hardware requirements. A third method, useful only for companies of a certain size, is that of employing a switching device between a PBX and a data network.

The problem to be solved by the present application is therefore the provision of a low cost and easy to use routing method.

4.4 Solution

The present invention solves the above problem by providing a subscriber interface unit at the customer premises, thereby creating a distributed service system, where all routing decisions are made at the customer premises, thereby avoiding expensive equipment or deployment costs of centralized solutions as shown in e.g. D1.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/CA2004/000396

4.5 Conclusion

With none of the prior art documents disclosing nor rendering the above solution, the subject-matter of **claims 1 and 10** is considered as providing an inventive step over the prior art meeting the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT.

- 4.6 Claims 2 to 9 and 11 to 19 are dependent on claims 1 and 10 respectively and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.
- 5. The subject-matter defined in claims 1 to 22 is, however, industrially applicable (Article 33(4) PCT).